

| Directorate   | Service   | Person responsible for the assessment:  | Date assessment completed: |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|
| Children's Services   | Property and Technology Management  | Project Co-ordinator  | June 2011                  |
| Title of the policy being assessed                                      | Proposal to close Holbeach The George Far<br>and Crowland The St Guthlac School to enal   |   |                            |
| The status of the policy  | New Decision  |   |                            |
| 1.) What are the aims,<br>objectives and purpose of<br>the policy?      | To decide whether to close Holbeach The Geor<br>and Crowland The St Guthlac School to enable<br>the process of ensuring appropriate secondary<br>Crowland and Holbeach area and also assuring   | the formation of a new A<br>school provision for pupils                         | cademy as part of          |
| 2.) Does the policy support<br>other objectives of the<br>Council?      | <ul> <li>The Decision supports:</li> <li>Children and Young People's Plan</li> <li>Value For Money Principles</li> <li>The Sustainable Communities Strategy</li> </ul>  |   |                            |
| 3.) Who is intended to benefit from the policy, and in what way?        | <ul> <li>Children and young people, their parents<br/>educational needs are considered and th<br/>educational provision.</li> <li>The Council – through aiming to retain sk<br/>money and that community needs are money</li> </ul>   | ey are provided with an a<br>killed and experienced sta                         | adequate level of          |
| 4.) What outcomes are<br>anticipated from the policy<br>being in place? | <ul> <li>That the educational needs of the children<br/>Crowland and Holbeach area will be met</li> <li>To maintain employment for staff and off</li> <li>The council will have assessed and ensu</li> <li>The number of school places within the a<br/>solution for secondary education will be p</li> </ul> | er redeployment if necess<br>red value for money.<br>area will be maintained ar | sary where possible.       |

|  | Communi                              | ty                          | Staff   | Partners   |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 5.) Who are the main stakeholders of this policy?  | Children and your their families and |                             | <ul> <li>Relevant children's<br/>services workforce</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>School staff</li> <li>School Improvement<br/>Service</li> <li>HR</li> </ul> |
| 6.) Are there any concerns that<br>the policy <i>could</i> have a<br>negative impact with regard<br>to race and ethnicity? | No                                   | negative im                 | o concerns that the impact of th<br>pact on race with respect to sta  | ff or pupils.  |
| What evidence (actual data<br>or assumptions) do you<br>have to support this?  | have a similar ethnic                | c compositior               | s will be guaranteed a place at t<br>and therefore there will be no<br>regardless of their ethnicity the                                      | negative impact. All staff will  |
| 7.) Are there any concerns that<br>the policy <i>could</i> have a<br>negative impact with regard<br>to gender?             | Νο                                   |                             | to concerns that there could be<br>or either staff or pupils.   | a negative impact with regard  |
| What evidence (actual data or assumptions) do you have to support this?  | no negative impact.                  | Children will               | e Academy regardless of their of be offered a place regardless of composition to the two schools a  | f gender at the Academy  |
| 8.) Are there any concerns that<br>the policy <i>could</i> have a<br>negative impact with regard<br>to disability?         | Νο                                   | There are r<br>through this | o concerns that disability will be<br>proposal.   | e negatively impacted upon   |
| What evidence (actual data<br>or assumptions) do you<br>have to support this?  |                                      | pils to an alte             | cial Educational Needs (SEN) wernative school site and physica  |  |
| 9.) Are there any concerns that<br>the policy <i>could</i> have a<br>negative impact with regard<br>to age?                | Νο                                   | through the of staff. In    | ng age, it is felt that there will b<br>continued employment or any p<br>addition children will continue to<br>local school where their needs | potential future redeployment<br>have a place at an                                  |

| What evidence (actual data<br>or assumptions) do you<br>have to support this?  | All staff will be TUP negative impact.  | E'd over to the Academy regardless of their age therefore there will be no                            |
|--|---|---|
| 10.) Are there any concerns that<br>the policy <i>could</i> have a<br>negative impact with regard<br>to religion/belief?                                       | No                                      | There are no concerns that this proposal could have a negative impact on religion and belief.         |
| What evidence (actual data<br>or assumptions) do you<br>have to support this?  |   | ommunity schools and if they close there will be no impact on the number places provided in the area. |
| 11.) Are there any concerns that<br>the policy <i>could</i> have a<br>negative impact with regard<br>to sexual orientation?                                    | No                                      | There are no concerns that the policy will have a negative impact on sexual orientation.              |
| What evidence (actual data<br>or assumptions) do you<br>have to support this?  | The sexual orientat retirement process. | ion of staff is not considered to have any impact on the redeployment or                              |
| 12.) Could the negative impact<br>you have identified in<br>questions 6-11 lead to the<br>potential for <i>adverse</i> impact<br>if the policy is implemented? | N/A                                     |   |
| Can this adverse impact be<br>justified on the grounds of<br>promoting equality of<br>opportunity for one group?<br>Or any other reason?                       | N/A                                     |   |
| Can the impact be mitigated by existing means?   | N/A                                     |   |

| If yes, what actions will you<br>undertake to mitigate these<br>impacts and revise the<br>policy?                                      |     |   |   |
|--|-----|---|---|
| 13.) As a result of your<br>assessment, and any<br>actions undertaken, should<br>the policy proceed to a<br>partial impact assessment? | Νο  | If yes, the date of completion for the partial assessment                   |   |
| Has this assessment been<br>undertaken by a minimum of<br>two staff?   | Yes | Has this assessment been scrutinised by your<br>Directorate Steering Group? | A paper was<br>presented to the<br>Policy and<br>Scrutiny<br>Committee on 25<br>February 2010<br>when approval<br>was given to<br>continue<br>consultation on<br>this proposal. |
| <i>If the policy is new, or requires a Assessment been included with t</i>   |     | cillors to revise, has this Equality Impact                                 | Yes   |

| Have any actions identified in this assessment been included in your service equality and diversity action plan? |                                |                |             | Νο |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----|
| Completed by:  | Linda Duffield<br>Matt Clayton | Signed off by: | Paul Holmes |    |